IBM-POU920030068US1 USSN 10/796,426

B: Remarks:

The examiner is thanked for the official report. In response to the

prior rejection in view of Stiles et al US 6,425,075 applicants have amended the claims for

clarity. The applicants believe the application of this art does not correctly apply to the claims

now made and do not anticipate the claims under 35USC102 or make obvious under 35USC103

the claims as now submitted. Reconsideration of all claims is now appropriate.

The original claims were rejected upon Stiles 6,425,075 which relates to a two level branch

prediction cache. The present claims are not two level. Furthermore, the Stiles First level Branch

Prediction Cache 152 argued as equivalent to our Set Associative BTB is not on the same level

as the second level branch prediction cache 155 and so cannot be compared to the set associative

recent entry queue claimed, as that reference's second level branch predition cache 155 is not set

associative (see col. 15, line 43, 44) and is on a different level and not a subset of the BTB.

The comparison of these different and changing elements to the subject matter claims is not

possible to be made and understood when compared to the subject matter claimed.

Each of the dependent claims also is directed to subject matter the applicants believe

patentable. As the original reference fails to meet the claim 1 combination, and the further

combination of elements with the dependent claims is not shown, it is believed all of the claims

are now patentable.

Reconsideration and allowance of the application is appropriate.

A Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

(For the inventors)

/Lynn L. Augspurger/

BY: Lynn L. Augspurger

Registration No. 24,227

Phone: 845-433-1174

Fax: 845-432-9601

-9-